

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CAMERON STANDLEY,

Petitioner,

v.

ALAMEDA COUNTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEYS OFFICE, et al.,

Respondents.


Case No. [21-cv-03597-JST](#)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On or about May 3, 2021, Petitioner filed this *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus. ECF No. 1. That same day, the Clerk of the Court informed Petitioner that this action was deficient because he had not submitted an *in forma pauperis* application or paid the filing fee. ECF No. 2. The Court provided Petitioner with a blank *in forma pauperis* application and a postage-paid return envelope. ECF No. 3. Petitioner was instructed to respond within twenty-eight days of the date of the order. *Id.* The deadline has passed, and Petitioner has not submitted the required documents. The Court therefore DISMISSES this action without prejudice. Because this dismissal is without prejudice, Petitioner may move to reopen the action. Any such motion must contain either the full filing fee or a complete *in forma pauperis* application.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 19, 2021


JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge